

Spring 3-21-1979

Senate Meeting March 21, 1979

Academic Senate

Follow this and additional works at: <http://ir.library.illinoisstate.edu/senateminutes>



Part of the [Higher Education Administration Commons](#)

Recommended Citation

Senate, Academic, "Senate Meeting March 21, 1979" (1979). *Academic Senate Minutes*. Paper 369.
<http://ir.library.illinoisstate.edu/senateminutes/369>

This Article is brought to you for free and open access by the Academic Senate at ISU ReD: Research and eData. It has been accepted for inclusion in Academic Senate Minutes by an authorized administrator of ISU ReD: Research and eData. For more information, please contact ISUReD@ilstu.edu.

ACADEMIC SENATE MINUTES
(Not approved by the Academic Senate)

March 21, 1979

Volume X, No. 13

Contents

SHUMAN STANLEY
OFC V P FOR BUSINESS & FINANCE

Call to Order

Roll Call

Approval of Minutes of March 7, 1979 and February 21, 1979

Chairperson's Remarks

Vice-Chairperson's Remarks

Administrators' Remarks

Student Body President's Remarks

*Constitutional Amendments of December 13, 1978 11.29.79.2

*Academic Calendar for 1980-81 2.2.79.2

BFA in Theatre 2.26.79.2

Blue Book Revisions on External Committees 2.26.79.1

*Proposal to Separate 3 committees

Vote on Ethics Matter 3.9.79.2

Vote on Ethics Matters 3.9.79.2

Nursing Completion Program 3.7.79.1

Kohn Memo 3.7.79.3

Committee Reports

Communications

Adjournment

*appendices

Meetings of the Academic Senate are open to members of the University community. Persons attending the meetings may participate in discussion with the consent of the Senate.

Persons desiring to bring items to the attention of the Senate may do so by contacting any member of the Senate.

ACADEMIC SENATE MINUTES
(Not approved by the Academic Senate)

March 21, 1979

Volume X, No. 13

Call to Order

The meeting of the Academic Senate was called to order by Chairperson Cook at 7:30 p.m.

Roll Call

The Secretary called the roll and declared a quorum to be present.

Approval of Minutes of February 21, 1979 and March 7, 1979

The minutes of February 21 and March 7, 1979 were deferred by the Secretary to a later date to enable the Senate members a chance to read them through.

Removal of Items from Academic Senate Business Calendar

The Secretary asked for unanimous consent from the Senate members to remove certain items from the calendar as follows:

Faculty Affairs Committee requests the removal of the following items:

2.22.78.2	4.17.78.3
4.5.78.2	8.23.78.2
4.17.78.1	9.1.78.2

Student Affairs Committee requests the removal of the following items;

9.26.78.1

These items receive unanimous consent from the members of the Senate to be removed from the Academic Senate Business Calendar.

Chairperson's Remarks

X,107 The Chairperson explained why we had removed the Text Book Policy proposal since we had received a letter from the legal attorney for the Board of Regents and the President had asked that this be removed. Mr. Schmaltz objected to the removal of this item and stated that it had previously been at the information level, and we had put it off once at the request of the President and we postponed once and that the President wants to put it off again. Mr. Schmaltz stated that he is on the committee and consulted with the University attorney who gave us no strong objection. The Committee consulted with the Regency attorney and we had a direct quote that there was no substantial legal issues. Mr. Schmaltz said he cannot understand why that we are putting it off again before we receive our recommendation. Mr. Schmaltz said that the final legality would be determined in a court of law. Mr. Schmaltz objected to the removal of this item from the agenda. A motion (Schmaltz/Bown) to reestablish the Text Book Policy report from the Ad Hoc Committee 2.14.79.3 as an Action item was made.

Mr. Watkins said in the statutes which established in the Board of Regents the Board has power to select textbooks. The President stated that was changed rather dramatically from what he saw in the original committee report and now recommends that the faculty have all the power. Mr. Watkins said that certain legal issues were never raised until the final policy statement of this committee moved to vest the authority for textbook purchases in individual instructors. "That question is for the Board attorney to investigate. There is no way in the world for the Board attorney not to investigate that. He is going to have to find out whether in fact there is a possibility of the Board simply giving that responsibility to individual instructors." Mr. Watkins said he has no idea whether the idea can possibly be

carried out or whether or not it will result in absolute chaos when it comes time to get the textbooks. Mr. Watkins stated that the matter was pointed out at an earlier date by Mr. Hicklin, the fact of possibly opening a Pandora's Box. "It is now open, and this was pointed out to the members of the Executive Committee. "There is no way I would sign an agreement before I have the assurance of the Board of Regents." The members of the Executive Committee asked Mr. Watkins whether or not it would be an embarrassing position to have the Senate approve a policy which he would then have to negate. Mr. Watkins said he would not have any compunctions at this point about disapproving a proposal. President Watkins stated that it would be embarrassing to the members of the Academic Senate to make a proposal on policy which may not be possible to implement. Mr. Watkins said he was not trying to particularly save his hide from embarrassment. Mr. Watkins thought the decision to hold off on making a proposal at this time was a wise one and he thought the credibility of the Senate and not the President would be hurt by the motion.

Ms. Cook stated that the first postponement was, in fact, the result of Executive Committee action because they had not received a report. Mr. Watkins said he had never requested a postponement, but he thought this was a wise idea.

Mr. Hicklin attempted to explain some other possibilities. Mr. Schmaltz called on the Chair to rule Mr. Hicklin out of order.

X,108 Mr. Hicklin moved to table the motion, this motion was seconded by Mr. Watkins. A roll call vote of 25 yes and 10 no approved the motion.

Chairperson's Remarks

Ms. Cook thanked everyone for making it a good year while serving as Chairperson. This remark was followed by applause.

Vice-Chairperson's Remarks

Mr. Erickson announced that the reservations for banquet this coming Sunday for outstanding student leadership should be turned in by this Friday, if not before. Mr. Erickson apologized for having to leave early from tonight's meeting, as he has an early employment interview tomorrow morning in Chicago. He mentioned that this was the first time he had left a Senate meeting early. He also thanked everyone for his enjoyable year while serving as Vice-Chairperson of the Academic Senate. He remarked that he may have disagreed with a number of items, but he hoped that the friendship remained. Applause followed.

Administrators' Remarks

Mr. Watkins said he had covered most of his remarks during the Information session. There are no significant changes in the status of the University budget. Times for the hearings have not been set. "We don't know when the appropriation committees will be asking us to meet." The (state) Senate particularly might wish to move when the appropriation at a fairly early date." He said he did not know at this time, he did not know whether monies would be put in. "There has been some indication of optimism in high places that this might be done. If it is done, it would be a very fine thing. One of the more disappointing things that has occurred was that the Food for Century Three monies for buildings were curtailed dramatically.' That means that the money for the proposed agricultural building were not included in the capital budget. We have not given up on having that restored in an amendatory process." Mr. Watkins also thanked the leadership of the Senate.

Student Body President's Remarks

Mr. Donahue stated that he had recently met with the committee on the accessibility to higher education. The Executive Director, Mr. James Furman of the Board of Higher Education had urged each campus to examine the accessibility in each campus. Mr. Donahue mentioned some of the discussions that had taken place on a local committee investigating this affair. The turnover meeting will be this Wednesday evening at 7:00 p.m. and the turnover meeting of the student leadership. Mr. Donahue said that the effect of his leadership was dependent upon several of the action items to be presented at tonight's meeting. He said the Academic Senate was one of the most enjoyable experiences that he had had in his leadership. He said that although the Academic Senate has been open to much criticism, he said that he has nothing but the highest respect for the Academic Senate and its members. He commented that in his experiences around the state, there is not a single governing body that comes close to shared governance as the Academic Senate. Mr. Donahue stated that he had several projects that he would like to forward for the consideration of the Academic Senate. This was met by applause.

ACTION ITEMS:

Constitutional Amendments of December 13, 1978 11.29.78.2 (see appendix)

X,109 Ms. Cook explained that we needed to reapprove the Constitutional Amendments that were acted upon last December because the minutes did not get out until after the vote. Officially, that was out of order so we should take another vote on this important issue. A motion (Smith/Hermansen) to approve the Constitutional Amendments of December 13, 1978 (11.29.78.2) was made. The motion was approved.

X,110 A motion (Smith/Fizer) that a unanimous roll call vote be entered into the record was made and approved.

Academic Calendar for 1980-81 2.2.79.2 (see appendix)

X,111 Mr. Schwalm, Chairperson of the Administrative Affairs Committee, referred to the Academic Calendar on a memo on March 10, 1979. He stated that the fall break had been reinstituted, that the calendar reads as originally proposed. Thanksgiving vacation being moved to the end of Wednesday, November 26, 1980. A motion (Schwalm/Bown) to accept the proposed Academic Calendar for 1980-81 2.2.79.2 was made. This motion passed on a voice vote with one "nay".

BFA in Theatre 2.26.79.2

X,112 Mr. Sanders of the Academic Affairs Committee, presented this proposal to the members of the Academic Senate. Mr. Sanders stated that this proposal has been approved by all the appropriate committees. A motion (Sanders/Carey) to approve this proposal in theatre was made.

Mr. Pritner, Chairperson of the Theatre Department, was invited to come to the table to answer questions on this proposal.

Mr. Hermansen reported that the vote of the Academic Affairs Committee was two for, and one against and two abstentions.

Mr. Schmaltz asked why should a student go to acting school, "what does a student gain coming here for a degree program instead of going to acting school?" Mr. Pritner stated that the student would receive a university degree.

Ms. Butz asked how this differs from the current degree in theatre? Mr. Pritner stated that the current program was 55 hours.

Mr. Friedhoff said that now that he was being allowed to debate, he was going to bring some questions up. He raised the question of why this proposal should be allowed to deviate from the policy of that we have on the limitation of the number of hours in a major. Mr. Friedhoff raised a question as to whether or not required competencies couldn't be accomplished with a less number of hours. Mr. Friedhoff mentioned other universities who had a broader program and raised a question of the narrowness of the BFA program in terms of numbers of hours. Mr. Friedhoff raised a point about the narrowness of the general studies requirements making the proposal even narrower. Mr. Friedhoff stated that Mr. Pritner was a living example of the fact that in spite of the fact that he had a liberal arts background that he was a wonderful actor and that he had witnessed on several occasions that Mr. Pritner is a good actor. Mr. Friedhoff recommended that the BFA not be approved.

X,113 Mr. Turner, Chairperson of the Budget Committee, reported on this item. He stated that on page 10 of this report that one additional faculty member needed at \$20,000 or more per year. Mr. Turner made an amendment "That the approval of the BFA in Theatre be contingent upon new program money or reallocation of money within the College of Fine Arts." This was seconded by Gavin. (this motion was verbal)

Mr. Hicklin reported that in fact the \$20,000 was in the budget requests for fiscal '81. Mr. Hicklin explained that in one of the NEPR documents ISU had requested \$20,000 for a BFA, and that we had not in recent years approved a program which did not promise to go for external funding. Mr. Bolen explained that there had been some student fee money phased out in Fine Arts and that some of the general revenue would have to be used for these programs.

Mr. Morrison asked where might the money come from within the College of Fine Arts? Mr. Bolen said he could not answer that right now.

Mr. Schmaltz asked for a clarification of what Mr. Pritner had said about the reallocation or lack of funding. Mr. Pritner stated that if they did not receive the funding he would go back to the department for guidance. Mr. Shulman said that he would rather see the theatre department get the \$20,000 but not restrict them, he would like to see them offer theatre program to all students.

X,114 A motion (Shulman/Kohn) to table the (Turner/Gavin) amendment was made. The motion failed.

X,115 A motion (Turner/Gavin) that the approval and implementation of BFA in Theatre be contingent upon new program money of \$20,000 or more or reallocation of monies within the College of Fine Arts was made and approved.

Mr. Shulman requested that the senate members ask themselves where we are going and he asked for reconsideration of the whole thing. Mr. Shulman said he had the highest regard for members of the theatre department, but he said he was equally disturbed by the BFA in Music and some programs in Business. He said he had to speak very strongly against it. He has pleaded for general education at the bachelors degree. He stated that we ought to go the five-year route as in engineering or in pharmacy for a highly specialized degree. Mr. Shulman stated that only 8 credit hours would be available for student choice. Mr. Bown said that he met with some students this week and they supported the BFA. They said that they were not aware that there was going to be such an intense amount of hours. He pointed out to them that they might be able to take 6 hours elective and they began to get concerned about it. He said

X,116

that the students were afraid that they would not be able to graduate in four years. Mr. Bown moved to refer the proposal back to committee for cutting back the number of hours, back to the department for cutting back of the hours. Seconded by Butz.

Mr. Pritner asked for a point of clarification about the procedure. If these hours were cut back does it have to go back to the entire chain of command or what? Mr. Rives said that he thought it would have to go back to the Curriculum Committee because the Curriculum Committee did reduce the number of the original hours.

Mr. Kohn asked if, was it true that he stated that these number of hours were the minimum number of hours needed and therefore it would be futile to refer it back to the department that created it? Mr. Pritner responded to the question and said that faculty felt very strongly about this program in the field of theatre. Mr. Pritner said he would like to see the proposal voted up or down right now and it does not help the department of theatre to refer it back. Mr. Pritner stated that this has been on the Academic Plan for five years, perhaps six. Mr. Pritner continued that we had voted to keep it in the Academic Plan.

Mr. Hicklin raised a question about the fact that there were so few students being admitted while the new cost was at \$20,000, that this would result in a very high cost per student.

Mr. Wilson stated that he thought it was legitimate for the Senate to raise points even though the program had been included in the Academic Plan.

Ms. Patterson stated that there are other sources of education while here at the university other than classes and stated that she did not think this would appeal to an undecided student. Ms. Patterson made several mythological allusions which she thought was appropriate to the discussion.

Dean Bolen stated that we already have the best theatre program in the State of Illinois. He did not think that should be an argument nor should it be an argument that other prestige universities have such a program but this should not be based on what this will do for students. "This will be a disservice to a select few of theatre students to deny the BFA."

Mr. Smith hoped that this program will do something for the Arts and the students. He stated that the Arts have been relatively shortchanged in our society. Mr. Smith said it might require 72 hours since you are working with a human body, working with voice, movement and the body and its interpretive skills this simply requires a lot of time.

Ms. Butz asked Mr. Pritner what percentage of actors equity were employed. Mr. Pritner said probably 10 or 15 percent. Ms. Butz remarked that if in ten or fifteen years from now if a person was not employed as an actor/actress, what would a student have to show for it other than a degree from here.

Mr. Pritner said that he had become used to such arguments, but that this program was in the Academic Plan, therefore he should approve it. Mr. March said this is interdepartmental politics, Mr. March stated that ISU is almost an artistic wasteland with the College of Fine Arts being an oasis. He said that he did not think that this program would be limited to as few as 20 students. Mr. March stated that he will support the program with serious misgivings.

Mr. Friedhoff commented about some inconsistencies about taking students who are already in the best program and then further selecting them for 70 hours of further intensive theatre work.

Mr. Watkins wanted to object to Mr. March's remark that ISU is a cultural wasteland. "It's not justified. I do disagree with Senator March on that point." Mr. Watkins said that he feels Mr. March has not availed himself in terms of music, drama and theatre which is available on this campus. Mr. Watkins said that the College of Fine Arts is a College of true distinction. Mr. Watkins said he did not find any interdepartmental politics here as much as he found basic philosophical differences.

Mr. Rives stated that we are not establishing a 72 hour major precedent. "We are talking about a performance oriented degree in Fine Arts. We are talking about different degrees in the Bachelor of Arts or Bachelor of Science which are different types of degrees." Mr. Rives pointed out the difference between the performance degree and other types of degrees. Mr. Rives stated again that we are not establishing a precedent of 72 hours. Mr. Rives explained that the University Curriculum Committee had made it clear that this is not establishing a precedent. He restated that this was the last of the performance oriented degrees. The MFA in Art, the BFA in Music and several others, the Bachelor in Music and the Master in Music were also added as performance degrees. Master in Fine Arts degrees already existed there. Mr. Rives hoped that the distinction is understood between performance degrees and others.

Mr. Shulman raised certain exaggerated concepts such as BFA in cello or in violin. Mr. Shulman stated that he hoped to live to see the day when the Deans would come and ask for the elimination of the BFA and the Business degrees with the excess hours. Mr. Shulman stated that he did not think that this was interdepartmental or intercollegiate politics.

Mr. Morrison stated that he was going to have to vote against this proposal because of the excess amount of hours. He said students should be free to take an excess amount of hours but they should not be required to take a certain number of hours. He also remarked that since we are so highly selective on the program that we really don't need the number of hours that we are proposing since persons will already be highly qualified by selection.

In answer to a question from Ms. Crafts about 62 hours and 68 hours in the performance degrees, Mr. Schmaltz stated that he will ask for a response as to why we voted for some proposals and not for this. He stated that he also had enjoyed many fine performances.

X,117 A motion (Barton/Gavin) to close debate was made and approved.

The main motion was now on the floor. A roll call vote was requested.

The motion passed with 23 yes, 17 no, and no abstentions.

Blue Book Revisions on External Committees 2.26.79.1

Mr. Jesse, Chairperson of the Rules Committee, presented the proposal on the revisions on external committees. Mr. Jesse asked to strike item L on the memo dated March 21, 1979 from the Rules Committee. (see appendix)

University Bicycle Advisory Committee (Item H)

Mr. Jesse discussed the rationale for the disestablishment of this committee and to transfer the functions to the Parking and Traffic Committee.

A motion (Jesse/) to approve items B through K, excluding item C was made. (This motion is made for these amendments to be approved on the package of external committees previously distributed to each senate member.) It was suggested that the members approve each committee individually rather than the whole package. (This motion was neither seconded nor approved.)

X,118 A motion (Jesse/Schwalm) to approve the Academic Freedom Committee was made and approved.

X,119 A motion (Jesse/Wilson) to approve the Academic Planning Committee was made and approved.

X,120 A motion (Jesse/Schmaltz) to approve the Academic Standards Committee for Undergraduate Instruction as presented in the package was made and approved.

X,121 A motion (Jesse/Bown) that the Advisory Committee for Equal Opportunity and Affirmative Action be disestablished with the proviso that the President's appointees to the Human Relations Committee include two (2) Academic Senators, one (1) of whom must be a student was made. Mr. Smith asked for the rationale. Mr. Jesse read a letter from Charles Morris, Secretary of the University, giving a rationale of the elimination of the Advisory Committee for Equal Opportunity and Affirmative Action Committee. The proposal, Mr. Jesse said, had been attempted approximately a year ago.

Mr. Hicklin remarked that it was nice to know that affirmative action is now off the ground. "I don't know anything about this new committee, I do get worried and I will warn you, that whenever an administrative office comes around and tells you that a Senate committee is no longer needed, that's always raised a red flag, there's usually a hidden agenda there. If I were a faculty member and concerned about affirmative action, I would be concerned right now about affirmative action, taking the name off the committee and giving it to the Human Relations Committee."

In response to Mr. Hicklin's remarks, Mr. Watkins said there was a hidden agenda, it is efficiency. Mr. Watkins said he had no problem with putting senators on the Human Relations Committee. Mr. Chambers said he is on both the Rules Committee and the Human Relations Committee, and he stated that the Human Relations Committee was in favor of this motion.

The motion passed unanimously.

X,122 A motion (Jesse/Shulman) to approve the Athletic Council as presented in the package was made and approved. Mr. March raised a question about the responsibility of the Administrative Affairs and Student Affairs Committee with the Athletic Council reporting to both. Mr. Bown explained the rationale.

X,123 Mr. Jesse asked that there be an editorial change made to the Council for Teacher Education Committee in the reporting line. A motion (Jesse/Schwalm) to approve the Council for Teacher Education Committee with the editorial change of reporting also to the Provost and the Academic Affairs Committee was made and approved.

X,124 A motion (Jesse/Friedhoff) to increase the membership on the Council on University Studies from 13 to 19. The four (4) additional faculty positions be from the College of Arts and Sciences, viz. Three (3) from Humanities, Two (2) from Natural Sciences, Two (2) from Social Sciences. No two faculty members may be from the

same department. The two (2) additional students bring their total to six (6). They must come from at least three (3) different colleges including the College of Arts and Sciences was made.

X,125 Mr. Barton raised certain inconsistencies between previously concerned distribution about students on the senate and it is possible for certain colleges to be left off this committee. A motion (Barton/Hirt) that at least one student from each of the five colleges be represented on the Council for University Studies was made. The motion passed.

X,126 Mr. Miller asked what the source of the redistribution was. Mr. Jesse said that this suggestion was sent to the Rules Committee by Mr. Friedhoff. Mr. Miller asked who was in attendance when this suggestion was entertained. Mr. Jesse listed the persons present. Mr. Miller wanted to know what the distribution was from the students on the--" what was the distribution of the colleges of the students who attended the Rules Committee?" The students identified themselves from various colleges. A motion (Miller/Sanders) to change under the reporting paragraph, 1st line, the words "report to" to the words "is advisory to" was made. Mr. Miller pointed out inconsistencies between the present proposal and the report. The motion passed.

X,127 A motion (Miller/Hicklin) to refer back to the Rules Committee the whole question of apportionment of Council on University Studies Committee was made. Mr. Hicklin explained his motion to refer back. Mr. Hicklin explained in his vote that he did not disagree with Mr. Friedhoff's proposal but the walk-in nature of it violates the spirit of the By-laws and should have more consideration.

Mr. Friedhoff denied that this was an elite conflict. "We are a very egalitarian institution." Mr. Friedhoff said that the University Studies should have the majority representation since it was anchored in the College of Arts and Sciences. Mr. Friedhoff said that there was increasing mistrust among colleges and because we're trying to increase our production of credit hours.

Mr. Watkins stated that he supported the motion to refer back to committee. While he agreed with Mr. Friedhoff that the majority of university courses are located in the College of Arts and Sciences, for any one college to have numerical advantage, or disadvantage, does seem a little heavy. He hoped that that could be given some more consideration.

The motion passed on a roll call vote of 25 yes and 15 no.

X,128 A motion (Jesse/Kennedy) to approve the Economic Well-Being Committee as presented in the package was made and approved.

X,129 A motion (Jesse/Sanders) to approve the Elections Committee as presented in the package was made. A motion (Butz/Donahue) to add a new number 2 to the Functions of this committee to read: 2. To delegate at their discretion, the responsibility for student Senate elections, to the Student Elections Committee was made and approved. (The remainder of the functions were re-numbered 3 through 6.)

X,131 A motion (Jesse/Wilson) to approve the Facilities Planning Committee as presented in the package was made and approved. One addition under the reporting line should be: "Recommendations are forwarded to the Provost and the President." This friendly amendment was approved.

On the Faculty Ethics and Grievance Committee, Mr. Kohn reported that Mr. Eatherly, Chairperson of the Ethics and Grievance Committee, is working on some revisions on this item and Mr. Kohn felt that we should wait for communication from him before passing this item.

- X,132 A motion (Jesse/Hermansen) to approve the Honors Council Committee as presented in the package was made and approved.
- X,133 A motion (Jesse/Kennedy) recommending item 14 Library Committee with editorial change of: Director of Libraries (serves as administrative representative) was made and approved.
- X,134 A motion (Jesse/Kohn) to approve the Parking and Traffic Committee as presented in the package with the following editorial change: Ex-officio: Administrative Representative (non-voting) Appointed by the Secretary of the University; Two (2) Professional Technical staff members (nominated by PTS council); Four (4) students (nominated by the Student Association) and stipulation to disestablish the University Bicycle Committee and transfer the functions 1 through 5 to the Parking and Traffic Committee was made and approved.

Ms. Cook raised a question about the distribution of the minutes of the Parking and Traffic Committee and the Parking Appeals Board.

Editorial change: On the Parking and Traffic Committee under Distribution of Minutes, second line, after the word "Senate": add the word "office".

The motion passed.

Reinstatement Committee

- X,135 Membership number should be changed to 11. A motion (Jesse/Wilson) to approve the Reinstatement Committee with the changes listed on Mr. Jesse's memo of March 21,
- X,136 1979 was made. A motion (McCarthy/Belshe) to refer back to committee for reconsideration of the reporting line of the Reinstatement Committee was made and approved. Mr. Kohn remarked he didn't know why the Reinstatement Committee reported to the Student Affairs Committee in the first place.
- X,137 A motion (Jesse/Smith) to approve the Student Code Enforcement and Review Board (SCERB) Committee structure as presented in the package was made and approved.

Mr. Jesse gave a rationale for changing the length of student's term on the Curriculum Committee from 2 years to 1 year. Strike the words "No more than" in the 4th paragraph, 3rd line. It would now read: "Two from each college except

- X,138 four from the College of Arts and Sciences." A motion (Jesse/Wilson) to approve University Curriculum Committee as presented with the editorial changes was made and approved.

- X,139 A motion (Jesse/Boaz) to approve the University Financial Exigency Committee was made and approved.

Mr. Jesse suggested changes in the University Review Committee as follows:

Membership: (described below)

Chairperson: Elected annually from among the members. (deleting word "Provost")

The editorial changes were made and approved.

- X,140 A motion (Jesse/March) to approve the University Review Committee with the editorial changes was made and approved.

- X,141 A motion (Jesse/Fizer) to approve the University Union/Auditorium Board Committee was made and approved.
- X,142 A motion (Jesse/Barton) to approve the Joint University Advisory Committee to the Board of Regents Committee, with a change in the reporting line to read: "JUAC Senate members shall report to the Academic Senate at regular Senate meetings and the JUAC Civil Service member reports to the Civil Service Council." was made and approved.
- X,143 A motion (Jesse/Schwalm) to approve the Administrative Selection Committee Chairperson's Panel (Panel of Ten) with editorial changes noted was made and approved.

Item L: (see appendix)

- Mr. Jesse stated that there was a previous proposal, a similar proposal which was attempted to be introduced at the last meeting and it failed to be considered. Mr. Jesse stated that the Rules Committee this afternoon revised that proposal to
- X,144 Item L. Mr. Jesse said that it passed the Rules Committee. A motion (Jesse/Donahue) to approve the proposal on Entertainment Forum and Union/Auditorium
- X,145 Board was made. A motion (Bown/Chambers) to table the Proposal on Entertainment Forum and Union/Auditorium Board was made and approved with 23 yes and 18 no. Ms. Cook thanked the Rules Committee for all their time and effort spent on these revisions.

Vote on Ethics Matter 3.9.79.2

Mr. Hicklin explained that in his duties as Secretary of the Senate it is his duty to read a motion since the Chair cannot make the motion and it would not be appropriate for the Vice-Chairperson, who is absent, to make the motion.

Ms. Ginnis raised a question if this motion was to come before the whole senate. Mr. Bown asked that the statement be entered into the record, that the students were not informed on this matter and they would not be voting on this matter and they did not want to be connected with it in any manner. Ms. Butz asked if the administrators would be voting. Ms. Cook stated that Mr. Belshe and Mr. Gamsky participated in the discussion and Mr. Horner was part of the ethics procedure, Mr. Watkins is part of the appeal procedure and has not been involved in the discussion. Mr. Morrison stated that he, that students do not want to appear that they are not in agreement with the procedure.

The Academic Senate went into Executive Session at the request of Mr. Sims at 10:55 p.m.

The Academic Senate returned to open session at 11:10 p.m.

A motion to approve this vote of censure was made by (Hicklin/Sims).

Mr. Smith entered the following remarks into the record:

"I am compelled to vote no on this public poll of censure and the barring of membership on Senate and Committees for two reasons: 1) In this particular case there are extenuating circumstances which have clouded the fact of plagiarism, which presently cloud it, and which will continue to cloud it. 2) In this particular case, additional severe penalties have been proposed. Consequently, I see no need either for the sake of justice being done to our university and the

academic profession, or for further punishment for the individual involved. I am opposed to public censure in this case and I vote No on the motion to censure and to bar membership on Senate and Committees."

Mr. Grever stated that he agrees with Mr. Smith and votes No.

The following is the motion that was read for the above ethics matter:(vote of censure)

"A Faculty Hearing Committee has reported that charges of plagiarism leveled by Professor Laurenti against Professor Olga Martinez of the Department of Foreign Language have been sustained, and that the plagiarism was extensive and substantial in the articles studied. It is the sense of the Academic Senate that the offense described constitutes behavior unacceptable by professional standards in this country."

X,146 A motion (Shulman/Sims) to close debate was made and approved.

The motion passed with 20 yes, 3 no and 13 abstentions, including all students. The President was absent for the discussion and vote, also the Provost.

X,147 A motion (Hicklin/Austensen) to move the second ethics matter from the Information stage to the Action stage was made and approved.

Mr. McCarthy appealed that we vote to move this to an action item in order that we not leave this to a future Senate membership.

The motion passed on a show of hands: 27 voted yes to move this item to an action item.

The Secretary read the motion on the second ethics matter:

"Vote to Bar Membership of Senate and Committees. In view of the findings of the Faculty Hearing Committee which investigated the charges of plagiarism against Professor Olga Martinez, the Senate declares that Professor Martinez is ineligible to serve on the Academic Senate itself or on any of the University committees for which the Senate makes appointments or ratifies the appointments of members."

X,148 A motion (Hicklin/Austensen) to approve this motion as read was made.

In answer to a challenge by Mr. Fizer and Mr. Bown, the Chair ruled that there had been a call for discussion on this item.

The main motion passed on a roll call vote of 19 yes, 6 no and 11 abstentions, and one student voting no.

All students, with one exception, abstained from voting.

X,149 A motion (Bown/Sims) to table the remaining items on tonight's agenda was made and approved.

(The items: Nursing Completion Program 3.7.79,1 and Kohn Memo 3.7.79.3 were tabled)

Committee Reports

Academic Affairs Committee

The next meeting of this committee will be Tuesday, March 27, 1979 at 10:00 a.m. in Hovey 418 and will be discussing Basic Skills. Mr. Miller said he would like to say goodbye to three hard-working students, Brian Barton, Dorothy Wolfe and Mark Hermansen.

Administrative Affairs Committee

The next meeting of this committee will be Thursday, 2:00 p.m. in Felmley 206 and we will be discussing the Ad Hoc Parking Policy document.

Budget Committee

No report given.

Faculty Affairs Committee

Mr. Smith said his committee worked up until about three minutes til 6 tonight on salary adjustment, about one third of the teaching faculty responded. Three departments responded about distribution. There is no clear support for the new proposal. Mr. Smith suggests that the next Faculty Affairs Committee continue studying this proposal by doing three things:

- 1) looking at feasibility (additional suggestions were turned in);
 - 2) perhaps adopting part B of that proposal with a 3 to 5 year equity review;
 - 3) should there be a four (a) equity for purposes of merit or for market factors.
- Another lengthy item is policy and procedures for faculty ethics and grievances. That report will be getting attention from the New Faculty Affairs Committee.

JUAC

Ms. Cook remarked that she hoped that JUAC will meet with the board next year. Mr. Hicklin reported on pending items and stated that he was leaving JUAC after 11 years.

Rules Committee

Mr. Jesse announced that this committee will have its next meeting in the Galery in about 20 minutes.

Student Affairs Committee

Brian Bown, Chairperson of this committee, thanked all the members for their hard work on the committee.

Communications

Mr. Kohn asked for unanimous consent for the adoption of this resolution:

"I move that the Senate go on record expressing its deep appreciation to the outgoing Senators, Faculty as well as Students. They all worked hard and accomplished much and will be missed. In addition, a special vote of thanks is due Jan Cook for long and selfless hours spent in the service of the Senate and also to Charles Hicklin, Kent Erickson and Mike Donahue for the part they played in making the past year a successful one."

The resolution (Kohn/Shulman) was approved unanimously.

Mr. Friedhoff read the following resolution into the record:

"The Academic Senate of Illinois State University has consistently opposed irresponsibility on the part of members of the University Community.

We believe that this view is shared by a substantial majority of our community. On March 9, 1979, an editorial in the vidette suggested that faculty of the university are vindictive when scheduling examinations on the day prior to a vacation period. The editorial went on to suggest that faculty who do anything "important" on that day are almost as vindictive as those who do schedule examinations. Although the writer may have written the editorial "tongue in cheek," there was very little to suggest this fact to the reader, and we interpret the meaning as suggesting that the university lower its academic standards for the sake of convenience. Such a suggestion serves to reduce the credibility of a degree earned from Illinois State and is repulsive to the many students who are serious about their academic efforts. Therefore the Senate, in the name of the vast majority of faculty and students at ISU, would like to state publicly that it is the view of the senate that the writer of the editorial and the editor - if they are different - behaved in a highly irresponsible manner in this matter."

Mr. Hicklin pleaded with Mr. Friedhoff to withdraw the resolution on the grounds that this would leave bad press relations for the next Senate.
vote: 15 no and 11 yes.

The resolution failed.

Adjournment

X,150 A motion (Hicklin/March) to adjourn was made and approved at 11:48 p.m.

For the Academic Senate,

Charles Hicklin, Secretary

JC:CH:c

Secretary's note:

These minutes were dictated on March 21, 1979. Subsequent delays in their distribution were due primarily to clerical and logistical conditions not under my control.

-15-

NAME	ATTEN- DANCE	VOTE							VOICE VOTE		
		Motion # 108	Motion # 117	Motion # 127	Motion # 145	Motion # 146	Motion # 148	Motion #	Motion No.	Y	N
Amster	A				no				107		x
Austensen	P	no	no	yes	yes	yes	yes		108		rc
Barton	P	no	no	yes	yes	abstain	abstain		109	x	
Belshe	P	aye	yes	no	no	yes	no		110	x	
Boaz	P	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes		111	x	
Bown	P	no	no	present	yes	abstain	abstain		112	x	
Butz	P	yes	no	yes	no	present	present		113		x
Carey	P	yes	yes	yes	no	yes	yes		114		x
Chambers	P		abstain	no	yes	abstain	abstain		115	x	
Cook, James	A								116		x
Cook, Janet	P	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes		117		rc
Cräfts	P	yes	yes	yes	no	yes	yes		118	x	
Donahue	P	abstain	yes	no	no				119	x	
Elliott	P	abstain	no	no					120	x	
Erickson	P	yes							121	x	
Fizer	P	yes	abstain	no	yes	abstain	abstain		122	x	
Friedhoff	P	no	no	no	yes	yes	yes		123	x	
Gamsky	P		yes	no	no	yes	yes		124	x	
Gavin	P	abstain	no						125	x	
Ginnis	P	yes	no	no	no	abstain	abstain		126	x	
Greathouse	A	yes	yes	no	no	abstain	abstain		127		rc
Grever	P	yes	yes	yes	no	pass-no	no		128	x	
ge	ex.								129	x	
Henry	P	yes	yes						130	x	
Hermansen	P	abstain	no	yes	yes	abstain	abstain		131	x	
Hicklin	P	yes	no	yes	no	yes	yes		132	x	
Hirt	P	no	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes		133	x	
Horner	ex.								134	x	
Jesse	P	yes	yes	no	no	yes	yes		135		x
Keffales	P	yes	yes	no	yes	abstain	abstain		136	x	
Kennedy	P	yes	no	yes	no	yes	yes		137	x	
Koehler	P								138	x	
Kohn	P	abstain	no	no	yes	yes	yes		139	x	
Kuhn	P	yes	yes	yes	no	no	no		140	x	
March	P	abstain	yes	yes	yes	abstain	abstain		141	x	
McCarthy	P	yes	no	yes	no	yes	yes		142	x	
Miller	P	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes		143	x	
Morrison	P	no	no	yes	yes	abstain			144		x
Patterson	P	yes	yes	yes	no	abstain	no		145		rc
Sanders	P	abstain	yes	yes	no	yes	yes		146		rc
Scharfenberg	A								147	x	
Schmaltz	P	no	no	no	yes	yes	yes		148		rc
Schwalm	P	yes	no	yes	yes	yes	yes		149	x	
Shulman	P	yes	no	yes	yes	yes	yes		150	x	
Sims	P	abstain	abstain	no	yes	abstain	no				
Smith	P	no	yes	yes	yes	no	no				
rnner	P	no	yes	yes	yes	abstain	abstain				
Wilson	P	yes	yes	no	yes	yes	yes				
Watkins	P	yes	yes	yes	no	absent	absent				
Wolfe	P	yes	yes	yes	yes	abstain	abstain				

*Arrived late

25 yes 23 yes 25 yes 23 yes 20 yes 19 yes
 10 no 17 no 15 no 18 no 30 no 6 no
 14 abstain 11 abstain

Committee name change Amendments to ISU Constitution

as amended on December 13, 1978:

II Amendments to Art. III Sec. 5, A, B, and Sec. 6 C of the ISU Constitution
(see University Handbook p. 83)

1. Amend the Title of Art. III Sec. 5 to read: Procedural Standards in Faculty Ethics, Grievance, Academic Freedom and/or due Process Tenure Procedures.
2. Amend Art. III Sec. 5 A sentence 1 to read: ... provide for a "Faculty Ethics and Grievance Committee".
3. Amend Art III Sec. 5 A sentence 2 to read: ... which are not related to the "University Appeals Committee, or the Academic Freedom Committee."
4. Amend Art. III Sec. 5 B sentence 1 to read: ... provide for an "Academic Freedom Committee" constituted of ...
5. Amend Art. III Sec. 5 B sentence 2 to read: ... for handling faculty "academic freedom, tenure, and dismissal cases" which guarantee ...
6. Amend Art. III Sec. 6 C so that the title reads: "University Review Committee" and so sentence 1 reads: ... provide for a "University Review Committee to recommend detailed policies on the handling of faculty appointment, promotion, salary, and tenure matters with such policies being approved by the Academic Senate." Delete sentence 2.

ACADEMIC CALENDAR 1980-81

approved by Academic Senate 3/21/79

FIRST SEMESTER, 1980

Thursday, August 21
 Friday, August 22
 Monday, August 25
 Monday, September 1
 Saturday, October 4
 Wednesday, October 8
 Saturday, October 18
 Wednesday, November 26
 Monday, December 1
 Saturday, December 13
 Monday, December 15
 Saturday, December 20

Program changes
 Residual registration
 Classes Begin
 Labor Day
 Fall vacation begins, at noon
 Classes resume
 First half semester ends, noon
 Thanksgiving vac. at end of scheduled classes
 Classes resume
 Reading day
 Evaluation period begins
 Fall semester ends.

SECOND SEMESTER, 1981

Thursday, January 8
 Friday, January 9
 Monday, January 12
 Saturday, March 7

 Monday, March 16
 Saturday, May 2
 Monday, May 4
 Saturday, May 9
 Sunday, May 10

Program changes
 Residual Registration
 Classes begin
 First half semester ends
 Spring vacation begins, noon
 Classes resume
 Reading Day
 Evaluation Period begins
 Semester ends
 Commencement

SUMMER SESSIONS, 1981

Monday, May 11
 Monday, May 25
 Friday, June 12

 Monday, June 15
 Friday, July 3
 Friday, August 7

Pre-session begins
 Memorial Day Holiday
 Pre-session ends

 Summer Session begins
 Independence Day Holiday
 Summer Session ends

PROPOSAL

- 1) The Union/Auditorium Board shall remain under the jurisdiction of the Academic Senate and Student Affairs Committee. The function of the Union/Auditorium Board shall be to review and make recommendations concerning University Union and Auditorium policy and budgetary matters only.
- 2) The Entertainment and Forum Committees shall be removed from the Academic Senate and be placed under the jurisdiction of, and report to, the University Program Board.
- 3) A Union Programming Committee shall be established by the University Program Board to assume the previous programming functions and efforts of the Union/Auditorium Board.
- 4) The University Program Board shall have the authority to approve and codify, the constitutions of the Entertainment Committee, Forum Committee, and Union Programming Committee and review them on an annual basis. The University Program Board shall also determine and place in writing and keep on file in the Student Activities office:
 - A) The purpose and functions of the committees, committee membership, eligibility requirements for membership, and terms of office.
 - B) Procedures to be followed for selection of committee members and officers. Guidelines must provide for adequate representation from the various areas of the University, such as women, minorities, handicapped, etc.
- 5) No member of the University Program Board shall hold voting privilege for matters concerning the individual committee of which he/she is a member.
- 6) The University Program Board shall include student representatives from the Student Association, Academic Senate Executive Committee, and the Black Student Union.
- 7) Any appeal of the committee membership selection procedure or general committee action should be filed with and heard first by the committee concerned, then the University Program Board, and final recourse is to the Student Organizations' Activities and Programs Office. (S.O.A.P.)
- 8) The changes shall become effective after the University Program Board performs the needed preparatory activities, formally recognize the shift, and receive Academic Senate approval signifying that all requirements have been met. The Academic Senate Rules Committee should also make the needed revisions to the Senate Blue Book.